REMARKS

A Notice of Non-Compliant amendment indicated that claims 35-40 were not present in the last Office Action. By this amendment, page 3 line 11, Applicant has properly recited that claims 32-40 are cancelled. Applicant now believes that the rejections made in the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment have been obviated by the enclosed response. If the Examiner requires further clarification please contact the undersigned attorney.

In response to the Decision on Appeal, Applicant has amended the claims such that claim 25 now includes all of the allowable subject matter as indicated by the Examiner and Appeal Board in claim 33. Claims 60-66 now include the allowable subject matter of claim 34 as directed by the Examiner and Appeals Board. Additionally, Applicant has amended the remaining independent claims to include the allowable subject matter of claims 33 and 34 in these independent claims. It is believed therefore that the combinations now defined in the application are properly patentable and that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that in view of the above amendments and remarks the claims 25-31 and 41-66, as presented, are patentably distinguishable because the cited patents, whether taken alone or in combination, do not teach, suggest or render obvious, the present invention. Therefore, Applicant submits that the pending claims are properly allowable, which allowance is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the Applicant's undersigned attorney at (248) 364-4300 if any unresolved matters remain.

Respectfully submitted,

WARN, HOFFMANN, MILLER & LALONE, P.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:

Philip R. Warn Reg. No. 32775

P.O. Box 70098 Rochester Hills, MI 48307 (248) 364-4300

Dated: March 14, 2005

PRW:GLO:cah